

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

cand.uscourts.gov

Post-Distribution Accounting Form

For guidance and instruction, please see

<https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/forms/procedural-guidance-for-class-action-settlements/>

Case Number (YY-xx-#####)	Master File No. 4:07-cv-05944-JST; MDL No. 1917
Case Name	IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION
Attorney Name	Mario N. Alioto & Lauren C. Capurro Trump, Alioto, Trump & Prescott, LLP
Nature of Action	Alleged overcharges on cathode ray tube (CRT) Products purchased indirectly from the Defendants.
Attorney Email	malioto@tatp.com; laurenrussell@tatp.com
Party Represented	All indirect purchasers of CRT Products
This is:	<input type="radio"/> An interim post-distribution accounting. Not all settlement funds have been distributed. <input checked="" type="radio"/> A final post-distribution accounting. All settlement funds have been distributed.

1. Total settlement fund	\$ 547,750,000.00		
2. Number of class members	# Total class size unknown		
3. Number of class members to whom notice was sent and not returned as undeliverable	# 10,656,311		
4. Number of claim forms submitted	# 156,467	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Percentage of claim forms submitted [=Q4/Q3]	% 1.5	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Number of opt-outs	# 14		
7. Percentage of opt-outs [=Q6/Q3]	% 0.00013		
8. Number of objections	# 16		
9. Percentage of objections [=Q8/Q3]	% 0.00015		
10. Average recovery per claimant	\$ 2,925.01		
11. Median recovery per claimant	\$ 59.47		
12. Maximum recovery per claimant	\$ 12,736,319.99		

13. Minimum recovery per claimant		\$ 25.00	
14. Methods of notice to class members [1 or more], and percentage of success by method if known. Leave percentage blank if not known.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mail	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Email
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Advertisement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Website
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Text
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other See attached notes
15. Methods of payment to class members [1 or more], and percentage of success by method if known. Leave percentage blank if not known.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Direct Deposit	<input type="checkbox"/>	Gift Card
<input type="checkbox"/>	Wire	<input type="checkbox"/>	Payment App
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	100% Paper Check
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
16. Number of checks not cashed		# 665	
17. Total value of checks not cashed		\$ 111,499.76	
18. Amount of settlement funds claimed by class member		\$ Pro rata fund distribution	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
19. Amount of settlement funds distributed to class members		\$ 421,488,672.02	
20. Amounts distributed to each cy pres recipient		Name	Amount
	1.	N/A	\$
	2.		\$
	3.		\$
	4.		\$
	5.		\$
	6.		\$
	7.		\$
	8.		\$
	9.		\$
	10.		\$
21. Administrative costs		\$ 2,803,183.42 (Notice Programs) \$ 4,229,634.20 (Claims Administration)	
22. Attorneys' fees		\$ 129,606,250.00	
23. Attorneys' costs excluding expert costs		\$ 2,311,315.46	
24. Expert costs		\$ 6,148,937.33	

25. Attorneys' fees in terms of percentage of the settlement fund	% 23.66
26. Plaintiffs' counsel's final lodestar total	\$ 81,067,569.00
27. Lodestar multiplier [# x.y]	# x.y 1.6
28. Describe any potential fraud issues encountered, the likely causes, and how they were addressed	
<p>The Settlement Administrator identified claims that were blatantly fraudulent, such as an individual claiming to have purchased billions of CRT Products, and other claims that were potentially fraudulent, such as (1) claims for ineligible purchases (e.g. purchases of non-CRT products, purchases made outside the U. S., or CRT Products directly purchased from manufacturer); (2) claims submitted by a third-party representative without an agreement demonstrating the authority of the third-party to represent the Class member; (3) submission of duplicate claims; and (4) claims that appeared to be inflated compared to benchmark purchase patterns for businesses or consumers (e.g., where claimants asserted that they regularly replaced their CRT products at a rate that was more than twice the industry standard). To resolve these deficiencies, the Settlement Administrator conducted audits, contacted claimants and third-party representatives, requested verification of claims and reviewed additional documentation.</p> <p>The Settlement Administrator identified and blocked fraudulent attempts to cash Settlement checks, including legitimate checks that were altered to change the dollar amount or identity of the payee and fabricated checks that were fraudulently printed with the routing number and account number of the CRT Settlement accounts. The Settlement Administrator also blocked fraudulent attempts to transfer funds from CRT Settlement accounts via unauthorized ACH or wire transfer. All CRT Settlement accounts were monitored on a daily basis by Settlement Administrator personnel, with additional bank protections added via Positive Pay and ACH blocking services.</p> <p>In 2025 the Settlement Administrator was informed by the New York County District Attorney's Office of its ongoing investigation of fraudulent attempts by certain parties to obtain settlement payments in several class actions, including the CRT Settlements. The New York County District Attorney's Office has not informed the Settlement Administrator of any specific claim in this case that may be fraudulent.</p>	
29. Number of class members availing themselves of nonmonetary relief	# 0
30. Aggregate value redeemed	\$ 0

Continued on next page.

31. Where injunctive and or other non-monetary relief has been obtained, discuss the benefit conferred on the class.

N/A

32. Other notes and issues required to be addressed by judge's standing order.

Judge Tigar's Standing Order for All Civil Cases provides that "the post-distribution accounting must discuss any significant or recurring concerns communicated by class members to the settlement administrator or counsel since final approval, any other issues in settlement administration since final approval, and how any concerns or issues were resolved."

Class members have not raised any significant or recurring issues with the Claims Administrator or class counsel. The distribution of funds to claimants has concluded.

End of form.

June 10, 2025

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION
Master File No. 4:07-cv-05944-JST; MDL No. 1917

NOTES TO POST-DISTRIBUTION ACCOUNTING

All settlement funds have been distributed except for a residue of \$392,696.16 which amounts to seven one hundredths of one percent (0.0007) of the total Settlement Fund. This residue amount is a result of uncashed checks, miscellaneous accrued interest and money reserved for taxes and accounting expenses pursuant to the Settlement Agreements. There is also a residual of \$9,071.52 from the reserve fund for administrative expenses to be distributed to the Settlement Administrator. See note 21 *infra*.

Line No.	Description
2.	In an indirect purchaser class action such as this one, the “number of Class Members” is not precisely known because cathode ray tube products, such as televisions and computer monitors, were widely purchased from numerous resellers and there is no definitive list of Class Members available to the parties covering all times during the Class Period.
3.	The number listed here is the total number of notices mailed and emailed at various stages of the litigation, including the settlements with Chunghwa, LG and the six settlements with seven additional defendants. Some Class Members received more than one notice as a result of multiple notice programs. Notices were sent to a targeted demographic that was designed to reach the maximum number of potential Class Members, which may have resulted in an overbroad dissemination of Notice that included persons who may not have qualified as Class Members.
5.	The percentage of claim forms submitted reflects several unknowns. As noted above, the number of eligible Class Members is not known; some Class Members received multiple notices; and some recipients of the Notice may not have qualified as Class Members and were not eligible to submit claims. In some instances a single claim form may account for the claims of multiple Class Members, such as when a claimant filed a claim on behalf of multiple Class Members whose assets had been acquired through numerous bankruptcy auctions. Accordingly, the reported percentage may not reflect the percentage of qualified Class Members who received notices and submitted Claim Forms.
14.	Other methods of notice included paid digital media on TVinsider.com, Parade.com, Facebook.com, Google Ads, Google Search, and Yahoo! Bing Search; Earned media and social media via PR Newswire; Twitter Posts to Reports; outreach to bloggers and other online media websites with a focus on computers, electronics, and legal issues; and a toll-free telephone notice.

16. &
17. Checks not cashed refers to the net number of checks remaining after the second distribution of funds to claimants. Pursuant to the Court's Order of 07/29/22 Authorizing Distribution of Settlement Funds, ECF No. 6040, residual funds from the first distribution amounting to \$3,958,961.13 from 15,292 expired checks were included in the *pro rata* second distribution of funds to Late Claimants who previously had received only a portion of their calculated Settlement award.

Additionally, the Settlement Administrator received several requests to reissue checks from claimants with uncashed/expired checks. The Settlement Administrator reissued checks to qualified claimants from available Settlement funds. The number and value of these reissued checks are included in the distribution numbers as reported.

21. Claims administration costs include all amounts invoiced and paid for administration plus \$9,071.52 representing the current balance of funds remaining in the reserve account for administrative services that was established pursuant to the Court's Order of 07/29/22 Authorizing Distribution of Settlement Funds, ECF No. 6040. Plaintiffs' counsel intends to disburse those funds to the Settlement Administrator as final payment upon submission of this Post-Distribution Accounting.
22. The Court's Order Granting Final Approval dated 07/13/20, ECF No. 5786, provides for an aggregate fee award plus interest which totals \$134,254,667.