

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION**

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. 4:07-cv-5944-JST  
MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:  
INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS FOR  
THE 22 STATES

~~PROPOSED~~ **FINAL JUDGMENT  
OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE  
AS TO THE PHILIPS, PANASONIC,  
HITACHI, TOSHIBA, SAMSUNG SDI,  
THOMSON, AND TDA DEFENDANTS**

Judge: Honorable Jon S. Tigar

1 This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this  
 2 Court should not approve the amended settlements with the Philips,<sup>1</sup> Panasonic,<sup>2</sup> Hitachi,<sup>3</sup> Toshiba,<sup>4</sup>  
 3 Samsung SDI,<sup>5</sup> Thomson,<sup>6</sup> and TDA<sup>7</sup> Defendants (collectively “Settling Defendants”) set forth in  
 4 the respective settlement agreements (“Amended Settlements”) relating to the above-captioned  
 5 litigation, *In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 4:07-cv-05944 JST, MDL  
 6 No. 1917 (N.D. Cal.) (“Action”). The Court after carefully considering all papers filed and  
 7 proceedings held herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises, has determined that: (1)  
 8 the Amended Settlements should be approved; and (2) there is no just reason for delay of the entry of  
 9 this final Judgment approving the Amended Settlements. Accordingly, the Court directs entry of  
 10 Judgment, which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits as to the parties to the  
 11 Amended Settlements.

---

12  
 13 <sup>1</sup> “Philips” includes Koninklijke Philips N.V. (f/k/a Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.), Philips  
 14 North America LLC (f/k/a Philips Electronics North America Corporation, Philips Taiwan Limited  
 15 (f/k/a Philips Electronics Industries (Taiwan), Ltd.), and Philips do Brasil Ltda. (f/k/a Philips da  
 Amazonia Industria Electronica Ltda.). The agreement was reached on January 26, 2015 (ECF No.  
 3862-1), and amended by the parties on September 16, 2019. *See* ECF No. 5587-1, Ex. A.

16 <sup>2</sup> “Panasonic” includes Panasonic Corporation (f/k/a Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.),  
 17 Panasonic Corporation of North America, and MT Picture Display Co., Ltd. The agreement was  
 reached on January 28, 2015 (ECF No. 3862-2), and amended by the parties on September 16, 2019.  
*See* ECF No. 5587-1, Ex. B.

18 <sup>3</sup> “Hitachi” includes Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Asia, Ltd., Hitachi America, Ltd., Hitachi Electronic  
 19 Devices (USA), Inc., and Hitachi Displays, Ltd. (n/k/a Japan Display Inc.). The agreement was  
 reached on February 19, 2015 (ECF No. 3862-3), and amended by the parties on September 16,  
 2019. *See* ECF No. 5587-1, Ex. C.

20 <sup>4</sup> “Toshiba” includes Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America, Inc., Toshiba America Information  
 21 Systems, Inc., Toshiba America Consumer Products, L.L.C., and Toshiba America Electronic  
 Components, Inc. The agreement was reached on March 6, 2015 (ECF No. 3862-4), and amended  
 22 by the parties on September 16, 2019. *See* ECF No. 5587-1, Ex. D.

23 <sup>5</sup> “Samsung SDI” includes Samsung SDI Co., Ltd., Samsung SDI America, Inc., Samsung SDI  
 24 Brasil, Ltda., Tianjin Samsung SDI Co., Ltd., Shenzhen Samsung SDI Co., Ltd., Samsung SDI  
 (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd., and Samsung SDI Mexico S.A. de C.V. The agreement was reached on April  
 1, 2015 (ECF No. 3862-5), and amended by the parties on September 16, 2019. *See* ECF No. 5587-  
 1, Ex. E.

25 <sup>6</sup> “Thomson” includes Technicolor SA (f/k/a Thomson SA) and Technicolor USA, Inc. (f/k/a  
 26 Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc). The agreement was reached on June 10, 2015 (ECF No.  
 3876-1), and amended by the parties on September 16, 2019. *See* ECF No. 5587-1, Ex. F.

27 <sup>7</sup> “TDA” refers to Technologies Displays Americas LLC (f/k/a Thomson Americas LLC). The  
 28 agreement was reached on June 10, 2015 (ECF No. 3876-1), and amended by the parties on  
 September 16, 2019. *See* ECF No. 5587-1, Ex. F.

1 Good cause appearing therefor, it is:

2 **ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:**

3 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, and all actions  
4 within this litigation and over the parties to the Amended Settlements, including all members of the  
5 Class and the Settling Defendants.

6 2. The definitions of terms set forth in the Amended Settlements are incorporated hereby  
7 as though fully set forth in this Judgment.

8 3. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlements set forth in the  
9 Amended Settlements and finds that said settlements are, in all respects, fair, reasonable and  
10 adequate to the Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and all applicable  
11 state laws.

12 4. The persons/entities set out in Exhibit 1, attached hereto, have timely and validly  
13 requested exclusion from the Class and, therefore, are excluded. Such persons/entities are not  
14 included in or bound by this Final Judgment. Such persons/entities are not entitled to any recovery  
15 from the settlement proceeds obtained through the Amended Settlements.

16 5. The Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the claims asserted by  
17 the Plaintiffs against the Settling Defendants, which were certified as a settlement class in the  
18 Court's Order Granting Final Approval (ECF No. 5786), with Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants to  
19 bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as provided for in the Amended Settlements.

20 6. All persons and entities who are defined in the Amended Settlements as Releasers are  
21 hereby barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or continuing any claims, demands,  
22 actions, suits, or causes of action, or otherwise seeking to establish liability, against Settling  
23 Defendants ("Releasees") based, in whole or in part, upon any of the Released Claims or conduct at  
24 issue in the Released Claims.

25 7. Releasees are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to any and all  
26 claims, demands, actions, suits, or causes of action which the Releasers had or have arising out of or  
27 related to any of the Released Claims.

28

1           8.       The notice given to the Class of the settlements set forth in the Amended Settlements  
2 and other matters set forth therein was the best notice practicable under the circumstances. Said  
3 notice provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein,  
4 including the proposed settlements set forth in the Amended Settlements, to all persons entitled to  
5 such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil  
6 Procedure, the requirements of due process, and all applicable state laws.

7           9.       The objections to the Amended Settlements are hereby stricken and/or overruled for  
8 the reasons set forth in the Court's Order Granting Final Approval, ECF No. 5786.

9           10.      Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains  
10 continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of these settlements and any  
11 distribution to Class Members pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b) disposition of the  
12 Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining applications by Plaintiffs' Class Counsel for attorneys'  
13 fees, costs, expenses, including expert fees and costs, and other such items; (d) the Class Action until  
14 the final judgments contemplated hereby have become effective and each and every act agreed to be  
15 performed by the parties all have been performed pursuant to the Amended Settlements; and (e) all  
16 parties to the Class Action and Releasees for the purpose of enforcing and administering the  
17 Amended Settlements and the mutual releases and other documents contemplated by, or executed in  
18 connection with, the Amended Settlements.

19           11.      In the event that any of the settlements do not become effective in accordance with  
20 the terms of that Amended Settlement, then the judgment as to that Settling Defendant shall be  
21 rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and in such event, all orders entered and releases  
22 delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void and, except as otherwise provided in the  
23 Amended Settlement, the parties shall be returned to their respective positions *ex ante*.

24           12.      The Court determines, pursuant to Rules 54(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil  
25 Procedure, that this Final Judgment should be entered and further finds that there is no just reason  
26 for delay in the entry of this Judgment, as a Final Judgment, as to the parties to the Amended  
27 Settlements. Accordingly, the Clerk is hereby directed to enter Judgment forthwith.

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: July 29, 2020

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JON S. TIGAR  
United States District Judge

# **EXHIBIT 1**

**CRT INDIRECT PURCHASER  
EXCLUSION REQUESTS**

<b>Exclusion Request Number</b>	<b>Person or Entity</b>	<b>City, State</b>	<b>Postmark Date</b>
1	Robert W. Robinson	Buena Vista, CO	8/17/15
2	Kmart Corporation	Hoffman Estates, IL	9/24/15
	Kmart Management Corporation	Hoffman Estates, IL	9/24/15
	Kmart Holdings Corporation	Hoffman Estates, IL	9/24/15
3	Sears Holding Corporation	Hoffman Estates, IL	9/24/15
	Sears Holdings Management Corporation	Hoffman Estates, IL	9/24/15
	Sears, Roebuck and Co.	Hoffman Estates, IL	9/24/15
4	Bonnie Bryant	Mesa, AZ	10/3/15
5	Michael Katz	New York, NY	10/7/15