	Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 641	13 Filed 08/16/24	Page 1 of 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mario N. Alioto (56433) Lauren C. Capurro (241151) TRUMP, ALIOTO, TRUMP & PRESCOTT LL 2001 Union Street, Suite 483 San Francisco, CA 94123 Telephone: 415-563-7200 Facsimile: 415- 346-0679 Email: malioto@tatp.com laurenrussell@tatp.com <i>Lead Counsel for the</i> <i>Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs</i> Robert J. Gralewski, Jr. (196410) KIRBY McINERNEY LLP 1420 Kettner Boulevard, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 858-834-2044 Email: BGralewski@kmllp.com <i>Counsel for the Indirect</i> <i>Purchaser Plaintiffs</i>	P	
13	Purchaser Plaintiffs		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION		
15			
16			
17			
17			
17 18 19	IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MASTER FILE Case No. 4:17-cv	NO. 4:07-cv-5944-JST v-04067-JST
18	ANTITRUST LITIGATION		
18 19	ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to:	Case No. 4:17-c MDL NO. 1917	v-04067-JST <del>OPOSED]</del> ORDER
18 19 20 21 22	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 4:17-cv MDL NO. 1917 REVISED [PRO GRANTING IN PLAINTIFFS' AUTHORIZIN	v-04067-JST <del>DPOSED]</del> ORDER IDIRECT PURCHASER MOTION FOR ORDER G DISTRIBUTION OF
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Luscher, et al. v. Mitsubishi Electric Corp.,	Case No. 4:17-c MDL NO. 1917 REVISED [PRO GRANTING IN PLAINTIFFS' AUTHORIZIN SETTLEMENT	v-04067-JST <del>OPOSED]</del> ORDER IDIRECT PURCHASER MOTION FOR ORDER G DISTRIBUTION OF T FUNDS
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Luscher, et al. v. Mitsubishi Electric Corp.,	Case No. 4:17-cv MDL NO. 1917 REVISED [PRO GRANTING IN PLAINTIFFS' AUTHORIZIN SETTLEMENT **AS MODIFII	v-04067-JST <del>DPOSED]</del> ORDER IDIRECT PURCHASER MOTION FOR ORDER G DISTRIBUTION OF T FUNDS ED**
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Luscher, et al. v. Mitsubishi Electric Corp.,	Case No. 4:17-c MDL NO. 1917 REVISED [PRO GRANTING IN PLAINTIFFS' AUTHORIZIN SETTLEMENT	v-04067-JST <del>DPOSED]</del> ORDER IDIRECT PURCHASER MOTION FOR ORDER G DISTRIBUTION OF T FUNDS ED**
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Luscher, et al. v. Mitsubishi Electric Corp.,	Case No. 4:17-cv MDL NO. 1917 REVISED [PRO GRANTING IN PLAINTIFFS' AUTHORIZIN SETTLEMENT **AS MODIFII	v-04067-JST <del>DPOSED]</del> ORDER IDIRECT PURCHASER MOTION FOR ORDER G DISTRIBUTION OF T FUNDS ED**
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Luscher, et al. v. Mitsubishi Electric Corp.,	Case No. 4:17-cv MDL NO. 1917 REVISED [PRO GRANTING IN PLAINTIFFS' AUTHORIZIN SETTLEMENT **AS MODIFII	v-04067-JST <del>DPOSED]</del> ORDER IDIRECT PURCHASER MOTION FOR ORDER G DISTRIBUTION OF T FUNDS ED**
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Luscher, et al. v. Mitsubishi Electric Corp., No. 4:17-cv-04067-JST REVISED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTIC	Case No. 4:17-cv MDL NO. 1917 REVISED [PRO GRANTING IN PLAINTIFFS' AUTHORIZIN SETTLEMENT **AS MODIFII Re: ECF No. 640	OPOSED] ORDER IDIRECT PURCHASER MOTION FOR ORDER G DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS ED** 06
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Luscher, et al. v. Mitsubishi Electric Corp., No. 4:17-cv-04067-JST REVISED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTIC	Case No. 4:17-cv MDL NO. 1917 <b>REVISED [PRO GRANTING IN</b> <b>PLAINTIFFS' 7</b> <b>AUTHORIZIN</b> <b>SETTLEMENT</b> **AS MODIFII Re: ECF No. 640	V-04067-JST OPOSED] ORDER IDIRECT PURCHASER MOTION FOR ORDER G DISTRIBUTION OF C FUNDS ED** 06 R ORDER AUTHORIZING DS

The Court, having considered Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion for Order Authorizing
 Distribution of Settlement Funds ("Motion"), the Declaration of Joseph M. Fisher Re: Notice,
 Claims Processing, and Distribution of the Net Settlement Funds ("Fisher Declaration"), the
 Supplemental Declaration of Joseph M. Fisher Re: Claims Processing ("Supplemental Fisher
 Declaration"), the pleadings and other papers on file in this action, and the statements of counsel
 and the parties, and finding good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS the Motion as follows:

7 1. The Notice Company's claims review process and audit procedures, as set forth in
8 the Fisher Declaration and Supplemental Fisher Declaration, were fair, adequate, and reasonable,
9 and provided a full and fair opportunity for potential class members to submit a valid claim.

10 2. The Court authorizes the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to claimants as set
11 forth in the updated Exhibit B-1 attached to the Supplemental Fisher Declaration, in accordance
12 with the Court-approved Plan of Distribution for this settlement.

- 3. The Court approves IPPs' proposal for paying claims filed after the applicable Courtordered deadline ("Late Claims") as fair and reasonable. Late Claims included in the updated Exhibit
  B-1 shall be paid. The Court approves The Notice Company's handling of Late Claims as follows:
- a. Late Claims submitted within three months of the claims deadline will be treated
  and paid equivalently to Timely Claims.
  - b. Late Claims submitted later than three months after the claims deadline will not be processed or paid.

4. Checks issued to claimants by The Notice Company shall bear the notation "NonNegotiable After 180 Days" or "Void After 180 Days." The Notice Company shall void all uncashed
checks following the expiration of the 180-day void date. The Notice Company may re-issue checks
and digital payments as necessary.

- 5. To the extent there are any residual funds from any uncashed checks or any electronic
  payments returned to The Notice Company, the Settlement Administrator shall propose a
  distribution plan to IPPs' counsel, who shall submit a brief with a proposed order to the Court
- 27

28

18

19

1

## Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 6413 Filed 08/16/24 Page 3 of 3

explaining why the proposed plan would fairly distribute such funds. If there are no residual funds,
 then IPPs' counsel shall instead file a final post-distribution accounting with a proposed order to
 distribute the remaining attorney's fees. such residual funds shall be distributed by the Settlement
 Administrator in his discretion in accordance with the Plan of Distribution for this settlement.

6. The Court finds that the fees and expenses incurred by The Notice Company in
processing and auditing claims were reasonably incurred in the ordinary course of administering the
settlement and necessary given the nature and scope of the case. The Court authorizes the payment
of \$668,022.

7. The Court additionally recognizes that The Notice Company will continue to incur
administrative costs and there will also be accounting fees in the ordinary course of administering
the settlement. The Court authorizes the reserve of \$345,475 from the Net Settlement Fund for future
payment to The Notice Company and accountants.

8. For good cause shown, the Court authorizes the release of the 10% (ten percent) of
attorney's fees that were previously withheld by the Court. The August 20, 2024 case management
conference is continued to June 3, 2025. Counsel may file a request to continue this date if the postdistribution accounting has not yet been filed.

17 9. The Notice Company shall take such other and further actions as are necessary and
18 proper to effectuate this Order and the distribution of funds to claimants.

19 10. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, one year after distribution of the Net
20 Settlement Funds has commenced, The Notice Company may destroy the paper copies of the Proofs
21 of Claim and all supporting documentation and, one year after all funds in the Net Settlement Funds
22 have been distributed, The Notice Company may destroy electronic copies of the same.

24

26

27

28

23

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: August 16, 2024



REVISED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING IPPS' MOTION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING DISTRIBUTION OF SETTLEMENT FUNDS CASE NO. 4:17-CV-04067-JST; MASTER FILE NO. 4:07-CV-5944-JST

2